MAC Institutional Quality Sustenance and Development Survey

Exit this survey

2.

1. Kindly provide the	details of the institution
Name of Institution:	N.V. SOCIETY'S N.V. ARTS, SRI F
Year of Establishment of the Institution:	1979
Address Line 1:	S.B. TEMPLE ROAD
Address Line 2:	BRAHAMPUR
City/Town:	GULBARGA
State:	KARNATAKA
Postal Code:	585103
Email Address:	principal nvdc@nutanvidyalaya.org

Year of Accreditation Reaccreditation:	SEPTEMBER 2012		
Current Grade:	'A'		
CGPA:	3.32		

3. Institutional Status

Affiliated Permanent

4. Contact Person Details

Email:

Name of Head of Institution:	DR. G.S.KULKARNI
Contact Phone:	9844403290
Email:	principal nvdc@nutanvidyalaya.org
Website URL:	www.nvdegreecollege.org
Name of IQAC Co- ordinator	PROF. HEMANTKU

hemantkumar.k@re

Next Prev

MAAC Institutional Quality Sustenance and Development Survey

Exit this survey

-	_	C	-1	-	8 1	
•	-			()	N	

This section is related to institutional goals, vision and mission, academic programmes and activities, strategies and action plans for institution building.

5.	Number of	academic	programmes	existing	Enter a	number	0 for n	il

Undergraduate (BA/B.Sc./B.Com etc.)	05	
Post Graduate (MA/M.Sc./M.Com etc.)	02	
Research Programmes (M.Phil/P.hD)	00	1.1
Certificate Programmes	01	
Professional Programmes (B.Tech/M.Tech/B.Ed/M.Ed/Medicine/Pharmacy/Paramedical/Nursing etc)	00	
Other value added programmes	01	
Any other programme offered (Specify)	00	18

New programmes added	me Development (Ent	
during the year	00	
New programes designed	00	
Programes under revision	00	
Interdepartmental collaborative programmes	01	
Inter institutional collaborative programmes	01	
Number of review committee recommendations implemented (Total)	01	
Number of NAAC peer team recommendations implemented	03	
Number of UGC/any other expert committee recommendations implemented	00	
Number of review committee recommendation under implementation	02	
Number of NAAC peer team recommendations under implementation	03	
Number of UGC/ any other expert committee recommendations under	00	

implementation

cotal faculty strength required as per norms for all programmes	85
Total faculty on rolls	85
Faculty added during the year	04
Faculty positions vacant	05
Faculty left during the	
year	02
Total number of visiting faculty	02
Total number of guest faculty	05
8. Qualification of Fac	ulty
PhD and Above	10
MPhil	08
Masters	
	67
Any other (Specify)	00
9. Faculty qualification	n improvement
PhD awarded to existing faculty	00
MPhil awarded to existing faculty	00
Any other degree awarded to existing faculty	01
10 Administrativo Sta	off Details (Enter a number; 0 for nil)
Administrative staff (total	
sanctioned)	14
Administrative staff (Actual strength)	10
Added during the year of reporting	00
Left during the year	01
Number of posts vacant	03
11. Technical Support	t Staff Details (Enter a number; 0 for nil)
Technical Support Staff (Total sanctioned strength)	00
Technical Support Staff (Actual strength)	00
Added during the year	00
Left during the year	00
Number of posts vacant	
ramber of posts vacalit	00

Powered by **SurveyMonkey**Check out our <u>sample surveys</u> and create your own now!

conferences/seminars/ workshops on institutional quality attended	00
Number of events conducted with IQACs of other institutions as collobrative programes	01
19. Did IQAC receive a	any funding from UGC during the year ?
Yes	
No	
	Qn. 18 is Yes, please provide the amount received from UGC - (Input 0 - if NA/NIL-) Any g internal financial support from the management (Specify amount)
Amount Received from any other source including the college management	100000
21. Any significant co	ntribution made by IQAC on quality enhancement during current year (Please provide t)
Digital library and NSS Blood Donati	membership Inflibnet on Camp
	Prev

Powered by **SurveyMonkey**Check out our <u>sample surveys</u> and create your own now!

MAAC Institutional Quality Sustenance and Development Survey

Exit this survey

SECTION III					
In this section the eve	ents, activities, an	nd outputs in the fie	eld of research and a	academic areas a	re being surveyed.

22. Academic Programmes

Number of new academic programes developed or designed by faculty	00	
Number of faculty members involved in curriculam restructuring/revision/syllabus development	10-	
Number of programes in which evaluation process reformation taken up and implemented	03	
Number of active teaching days during the current academic year	185	
Average percentage of attendance of students	85	
Percentage of classes engaged by guest faculty and temporary teachers	30%	
Number of self financed programmes offered	04	
Number of aided programmes offered	03	
Number of programmes discontinued during the year	00	

23. Whether any systematic student feedback mechanism is in place?

Yes

24. Feedback Details (If answer to Question 20 is Yes)

Percentage of courses where student feedback is taken

25. Is feedback for improvement provided to the faculty?

Yes

26. Faculty Research, Projects, and Publication details for the year

Number of major research projects undertaken during the year	00
Number of minor research projects undertaken during the year	03
Number of major ongoing projects	00
Number of minor ongoing projects	00
Number of major projects completed	00

Number of minor projects completed	03
Number of major project proposals submitted for external funding	00
Number of minor project proposals submitted for external funding	01
Number of research publications in peer reviewed journals	05
Number of research publications in international	04
peer reviewed journals Number of research	02
publications in national peer reviewed journals	
Number of research papers accepted for publication in international peer reviewed journals	01
Number of research papers accepted for publication in national peer reviewed journals	00
Average of impact factor of publications reported	1.7
Number of books published	03
Number of edited books published	00
Number of books (single authored) published	03
Number of books (coauthored) published	00
Numbers of conferences attended by faculty	10
Number of international conferences attended	01
Number of national conferences attended	10
Number of papers presented in conferences	02
Number of papers presented in international conferences	01
Number of papers presented in national conferences	02
Number of conferences organized by the institution	00
Number of faculty acted as experts resource persons	03
Number of faculty acted as experts resource persons - international	00
Number of faculty acted as experts resource persons- national	03
Number of collaborations with international institutions	00
Number of collaborations with national institutions	00

Number of linkages

0.3		
created during the year	00	
Total budget for research for current year as a percentage of total institution budget	00	
Amount of external research funding received in the year	160000	
Number of patents received in the year	000	
Number of patents applied for in the year	00	
Number of research awards/ recognitions received by faculty and research fellows of the institute in the year	00	
Number of PhDs awarded during the year	00	
Percentage of faculty members invited as external experts/resource persons/reviewers/referees or any other significant research activities	25%	
	Prev Next	

Powered by **SurveyMonkey**Check out our sample surveys and create your own now!

MA	AC	Institutional	Quality	Sustenance	and	Development	Survey
1.46	1110	motitudional	oc a a intry	Odotomanoo	MIIM	DOTOIODINOILE	ou oj

Exit this survey

surveyed.

CTION III		
	ctivities, and outputs in the fi	eld of research and academic a
Academic Programme	s	
imber of new academic	00	
ogrames developed or signed by faculty		
imber of faculty members	10	
olved in curriculam	110	
structuring/revision/syllabus velopment		
imber of programes in	03	
ormation taken up and		
plemented		
mber of active teaching ys during the current	185	Λ
ademic year		
erage percentage of	85	
endance of students		
rcentage of classes gaged by guest faculty and	30%	
nporary teachers		
mber of self financed ogrammes offered	04	
mber of aided	03	
ogrammes offered		
mber of programmes continued during the year	0	
Whether any systemat	ic student feedback mecha	nism is in place ?
es		
100		

25. Is feedback for improvement provided to the faculty?

where student feedback

is taken

Yes -

26. Faculty Research, P	rojects, and Publication details for the year
Number of major research projects undertaken during the year	00
Number of minor research projects undertaken during the year	03
Number of major ongoing projects	00
Number of minor ongoing projects	00
Number of major projects completed	00
Number of minor projects completed	03
Number of major project proposals submitted for external funding	00
Number of minor project proposals submitted for external funding	01
Number of research publications in peer reviewed journals	05
Number of research publications in international peer reviewed journals	04
Number of research publications in national peer reviewed journals	02
Number of research papers accepted for publication in international peer reviewed journals	01
Number of research papers accepted for publication in national peer reviewed journals	00
Average of impact factor of publications reported	1.7
Number of books published	03
Number of edited books published	00
Number of books (single authored) published	03

Number of books (coauthored) published	00
Numbers of conferences attended by faculty	10
Number of international conferences attended	01
Number of national conferences attended	10
Number of papers presented in conferences	02
Number of papers presented in international conferences	01
Number of papers presented in national conferences	02
Number of conferences organized by the institution	00
Number of faculty acted as experts resource persons	03
Number of faculty acted as experts resource persons - international	00
Number of faculty acted as experts resource persons- national	03
Number of collaborations with international institutions	00
Number of collaborations with national institutions	00
Number of linkages created during the year	00
Total budget for research for current year as a percentage of total institution budget	00
Amount of external research funding received in the year	160000
Number of patents received in the year	00
Number of patents applied for in the year	00
Number of research awards/ recognitions received by faculty and research fellows of the	00

Number of PhDs awarded during the year	00			
Percentage of faculty members invited as external experts/resource persons/reviewers/referees or any other significant research activities	25%			
		Prev	Next	

Powered by **SurveyMonkey**Check out our <u>sample surveys</u> and create your own now!

AAC Institutional Q	uality Sustenance and De	velopment Survey	Exit this survey
SECTION V			
		at the institution related to quality manage cheiving the objectives are focussed.	ement. The educational
41. Whether perspect	ive plan for overall developm	ental activities is created ?	
Yes			
O No			
42. If the answer for Q	n. 40 is Yes, is the plan impl	emented and monitored ?	
Yes			
O No			
43 Whether benchma	rking is created for institution	nal quality management efforts ?	
Yes	rking is created for institution	nal quality management enorts?	
O No			
44. If the answer to Qu format	uestion 42 is Yes, please list	the benchmarking in various areas of o	development in bullet
1) Continious interna	lassessment	^	
2) Class room semin	ars by students	~	
45. Is a Management I	nformation System (MIS) in p	lace?	
Yes			

46. If answer to question 44 is Yes, please provide details of MIS applied to

- 1. Administrative procedures including finance
- 2. Student admission
- 3. Student records

No

- 4. Evaluation and examination procedures
- 5. Research administration
- 6. Others

NO		A		
17 Existence of learn	aina ragauras	managamant		
17. Existence of learn	ling resource	Yes		1
e-database in library				1
e-database in library				
IĆT and smartclass room	m .	•		
e-learning sources (e- Books, e-Journals)		•		C
Production of teaching modules		•		C
Interactive learning		(
facilities				
Research	00			
Consultancy and training				
Student contribution				
	00			
Alumni contribution	225000			
Wellwishers	00			
9. Infrastructure and	welfare spend	ling: Please specify th	ne amount	
Amount spent for	678607			
nfrastructure development				
Amount spent for student	532105			
velfare				
Amount spent for staff velfare	31604839			
0. Is delegation of au	thority practic	ed		
Yes	praodo	× 7 1 2 1		
○ No				
1. Does grievance red	dressal cell ex	ist?		
		Yes		No
		(a)		

) Students		
Staff		
52. Grievances receiv	ved from faculty and resolved (Enter a number; 0 for nil)	
Number of grievances received	02	
Number of grievances resolved	02	
53. Number of grieva	ance's received from students and resolved (Enter a number; 0 for nil)	
Number of grievances received	00	
Number of grievances resolved	00	
54. Number of grieva	ances received from other staff members and resolved (Enter a number;	0 for nil)
Number of grievances received	00	
Number of grievances resolved	00	
55. Has the institutio	on conducted any SWOT analysis during the year	
Yes		
No		
56. The SWOT analys	sis was done by internal or by external agency	
Internal		
External		
	ONCT Analysis (in bullet format)	
	hree identified strengths from SWOT Analysis (in bullet format)	
Committed teach Very active alum	hing staff and support staff	
58. Kindly provide th	hree identified weaknesses from the SWOT analysis (in bullet format)	
1) Limited Researc	ch activity	
2) Government gra		

)59. Kindly provide two opportunities identified from the SWOT analysis (in bullet format) 1) Opening of more PG Courses 2) Tie up with industries

- 60. Kindly provide two identified challenges/threats from SWOT analysis (in bullet format)
- 1) Commercialisation of higher educatio 2) Mushroom growth of new institutions
- 61. Identify any significant progress made by the institution towards acheiving the goals and objectives during the year (list below in bullet format)
- 1) Successful incluclation of belongingness among students
- 62. How do you perceive the role of NAAC in the quality development of your institution (Suggestions in bullet format to be given below)
- 1) Recommedations of NAAC Help us to improve our quality of teaching, learning and research



Powered by SurveyMonkey Check out our sample surveys and create your own now!

NAAC Institutional Quality	Sustenance and Development Survey	Exit this survey
8.		

CONCLUDING REMARKS

This exercise is intended to make a self analysis of the quality development of the institution during the year. The perspective plan and implementation for every year is to be documented and analysed to get a cumulative index for the period of accreditation and reaccreditation. Any substantial changes / initiative in this direction is to be separately listed. The best practices in various areas can be listed as a separate annexure. The data will be used to create a quality profile based on the total score index arrived at and this would help to map the institutions' strengths and areas of improvement.

NAAC will provide feedback and a quality profile based on analysis of your inputs if you desire so.

Thank you for your participation.

Prev Done

Powered by **SurveyMonkey**Check out our sample surveys and create your own now!